

ALPINE CITY COUNCIL MEETING
Alpine City Hall, 20 N. Main, Alpine, UT
April 11, 2017

I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER: Mayor Sheldon Wimmer called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. The following were present:

Mayor Sheldon Wimmer
Council Members: Lon Lott, Roger Bennett, Ramon Beck
Council Members not present: Kimberly Bryant, Troy Stout
Staff: Shane Sorensen, Charmayne Warnock, David Church
Others: Barry Johnson from BTJD

II. EXECUTIVE SESSION: Discuss strategy on litigation.

MOTION: Ramon Beck moved to go into Executive Session to discuss strategy on litigation. Roger Bennett seconded. Ayes: 3 Nays: 0. Ramon Beck, Roger Bennett, Lon Lott voted aye. Motion passed.

III. OPEN MEETING: Mayor Sheldon call the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

A. Roll Call: The following were present and constituted a quorum:

Mayor Sheldon Wimmer
Council Members: Lon Lott, Roger Bennett, Ramon Beck, Kimberly Bryant, Troy Stout
Staff: Shane Sorensen, Charmayne Warnock. David Church, Jason Bond, Chief Brian Gwilliam
Others: Alex Johnson, Louise Johnson, Caden Humphrey, Jim Hill, Emily Hill, Ray Warner, Laraine Lott, Kim Redford, Jeff Redford, Jonathan Francom, Bruce Argyle, Greg Zippi, Tricia Zippi, Chris Anderson, Marla Rogers, Sylvia Christiansen, Vania Wilkinson, Cori Russon, Mike Russon, Ryan Johnson, Craig Skidmore, Sherri Nash, Wage Wasden, Brad Arnold, Eli Cloward, Tara Mickelsen, Amy Gregory, Stuart Gregory, Richard Tyler, Jim Gray

B. Prayer: Lon Lott
C. Pledge of Allegiance: Landon

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT: None

V. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Approve minutes of March 28, 2017 City Council Meeting

MOTION: Lon Lott moved to approve the minutes of March 28, 2017 as corrected. Kimberly Bryant seconded. Ayes: 4 Nays: 0. Lon Lott, Kimberly Bryant, Roger Bennett, Ramon Beck voted aye. Motion passed. Troy Stout was not yet present at the meeting.

VI. REPORT AND PRESENTATIONS: None

VII. ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. PUBLIC HEARING – ALPINE COVE ANNEXATION PETITION: Mayor Sheldon Wimmer opened the public hearing and invited comment, asking those who commented to state their name and address and to limit their comments to three minutes, and avoid repeating something that had already been stated.

David Church explained the annexation process as set forth under the state code. The annexation petition had to contain sufficient signatures of property owners which represented over half the land area and over one-third the land value. The petition had to meet both criteria. The City Recorder certified that there were sufficient signatures and sent it to the City Council to accept or deny the petition. If the Council voted to accept the petition, it started the annexation process. The annexation petition was noticed in the newspaper which began the 30-day protest period for

other entities such as the county, service districts, and other cities. If there was a protest, it went to the boundary commission who determined which entity could best serve the property. The next step was a public hearing, after which the Council could consider an ordinance to approve the annexation. If the ordinance was approved, it would go to the Lt. Governor's office. Signers may withdraw their name from the petition up until the time an ordinance was adopted. Mr. Church noted that there was no ordinance of annexation on the agenda that evening and the Council would only be taking comments.

Tara Mickelson – Alpine Cove Drive. Ms. Mickelson said the petition had been quite divisive in their neighborhood. However, she felt there was a way to fix it. She said she believed she spoke for most of the people in the Cove, and they were not opposed to the annexation. They were opposed to the way it was being done. Recently they'd elected new members to the HOA board. They wanted to have more time to work through the annexation and make sure it was in the best interest of everyone in the Cove. One of the problems with the petition was that it was so vague. Water and roads were important and they should be able to work with the City on how that ended up. They would like to see the terms of annexation spelled out more specifically. She said the new HOA board members were herself, Jeff Smith, Vania Wilkinson, Chris Anderson, Gary Tassainer.

Jeff Smith said he had lived on Grove Drive for 27 years. When this issue was at the Planning Commission, he thought they tabled it because there were so many issues that needed to be addressed. He was surprised to hear it was moving forward. He asked why it was being pushed so fast? He said five signers had rescinded their votes today because they felt pressured into signing the petition. He said he was not opposed to annexation. He was opposed to signing something when he didn't know what he was signing. He wanted to know what they would be getting and what they would be giving up. He wanted to know the numbers. He said their water may not be up to Alpine City's code, but it was still valuable. He said he felt they should work more closely together and not hide things. He repeated that he was not opposed to annexation. He wanted to know what the terms and conditions would be.

Sheldon Wimmer said they were just going through the annexation process as prescribed by the code. No one was pushing it

Jason Thelin said he lived on Alpine Boulevard. He was trying to understand the process. When the annexation was before the Planning Commission, they didn't have the costs of things. If the City had to upgrade the streets in the Cove, would that cost be passed onto the property owners in the Cove or would it be passed onto the current residents in Alpine City?

David Church said that if the City annexed the Cove based on the petition, there would be no agreement or obligation for any Cove resident to do something that a resident of Alpine City wouldn't do. Being annexed wouldn't hold the landowner to any special cost. Alpine Cove citizens would be treated the same as any other citizen of Alpine City. On the other side, Alpine City was not agreeing to do something special for the Cove or not do something. The City would treat the Cove as any other neighborhood in the city which meant that if the City decided to do something such as upgrade a road, the City and the taxpayers would be paying for it. The City would decide what improvement should be done, not the Cove residents. Likewise, if the City decided to not do any improvements, they would be making that decision, not the residents of the Cove.

Mr. Church said the water system in the Cove was different because it was an independent district. It would continue to operate in the same way it always had. The City did not have the authority to end the water district, nor could the district independently say they were done. It had its own obligations. The only way the district would be dissolved would be if the board decided they were through operating, and the City agreed.

Jason Thelin asked about the potential for a million dollar upgrade to the water system. If Melby wanted to annex and hook onto the water system, would the City have to upgrade their system in order to bring others in?

David Church said that if someone wanted to hook onto the Alpine Cove water system, they would have to work with the Cove Water District. He said that when raw ground was developed in Alpine City, each project had to make their own improvements and had to bring in water shares to the City. There would have to be an agreement on a water system and infrastructure.

Sheldon Wimmer said that if the Melby ground came into the City, they would have to bring in water and develop water beyond what the Cove already had. The Cove didn't have the capability to handle another 37 homes.

Jason Thelin asked if Alpine City would have to modify water pipes and do upgrades if Alpine Cove was annexed, and would the City would have to pay for it or would they expect Cove residents to pay for it? Or could they just leave it the way it was?

David Church said there was no contractual requirement to upgrade anything. If the Cove was annexed, and the City saw that the water system was inadequate, the City would be faced with upgrading the system. The Cove would be treated similarly to areas in the City that were developed thirty years ago and had undersized waterlines.

Shane Sorensen said the Cove Water District was their own entity. There were instances in other cities where different water providers served different parts of the city. If they didn't meet city requirements for fire flows and water system, it was considered a deficiency. There were areas in Alpine that had existing deficiencies. New development had to meet the minimum level of service, but the City had to take care of existing deficiencies.

David Church said that legally the City was not obligated to do anything. Politically, there may be pressure in the future to fix it. Jason Thelin said that if the citizens of Alpine were going to be paying for upgrades in Alpine Cove, they should know it.

Sheldon Wimmer said he'd had discussions with the County about the roads in Alpine Cove. The County had said they would overlay the roads with two inches of asphalt, along with some other possible expenditures. He said the County was interested in seeing Alpine Cove annexed into Alpine City.

Tom Abbot – Alpine Cove Drive: He said that 20 years ago when annexation was considered, the City said that homeowners in the Cove would have to replace the pipes to the individual homes and that would cost about \$10,000 per home. He asked what assurances there were that it wouldn't happen again.

Shane Sorensen said he had worked at Horrocks Engineers in 1997 and he didn't recall any discussion about requiring pipes to the homes to be replaced. He said that a line from the water meter to the home was the responsibility of the homeowner. Anything from the street to the meter was the responsibility of the water provider.

David Church said he was around during that time and he didn't remember anything about the water. The conversation was mainly about the roads. He said the only way requiring new pipes to the houses would happen was if the state regulators or EPA determined they were nonqualifying and needed to be replaced.

Tom Abbot asked what would happen to the water rights when the bond was paid off by the special service district.

David Church said the City was not requiring anyone to dedicate water rights to the City. They would be treated the same as any other neighborhood in Alpine City, except they would have their own water system.

Ramon Beck noted that Cove residents would get a better sewer rate if they were annexed. David Church agreed, saying other costs would go up but the sewer rate would go down.

Tom Abbot said that when he'd spoken with Commissioner Lee from the County two months ago, he was told the County did not have plans to redo the roads in Alpine Cove and was unwilling to make that commitment. He said the road on the west side of the Cove was falling apart and something needed to be done to curb the water from flowing into the houses.

Sheldon Wimmer said he'd spoken with a County Commission on Monday who agreed that the roads needed attention.

Chris Anderson – Oakview Circle. He said he was personally excited about being annexed into Alpine City, but he would hate to have the zeal for annexation remove good judgment. He wanted to understand the math, the cost, and the benefit of annexation. There could be unintended consequences if the special service district was fold into

Alpine City. He asked for a more clear understanding of what annexation would entail, and asked if the Council had had a conversation about what would happen if the water system was transferred over to Alpine.

Shane Sorensen said that whatever system provided service, the users had to follow their rules. In Alpine City, they had a level of service plan. If the City annexed the Cove and took on their water service, the City would have the responsibility of bringing it up to the City's level of service. The Council needed to have that discussion.

Chris Anderson asked if there was a possibility that the deficiencies in their water system would be paid for by residents of the Cove.

Shane Sorensen said he had Horrocks Engineering do a water study of the areas that could possibly be annexed. The study included Alpine Cove, Pine Grove, and the Melby property. It was a general study and the potential costs were not clearly assigned to the different areas.

David Church said that possibly, a future council would say they didn't want to take on the Alpine Cove water system unless something could be agreed on so the cost was not passed on to the rest of the rate payers in Alpine. If and when the City took over the Alpine Cove Water District, the City would have an obligation to do whatever needed to be done to bring it up to the City's level of service. Alpine Cove's current water system did not meet Alpine City's level of service requirements. Mr. Church said the proposed annexation contemplated that the Cove would continue running their own water system. His prediction was that after it was annexed, there would be a time in the future when the Cove residents would be down to the City Council saying the City needed to improve their water system.

Sheldon Wimmer said they had talked about an interim cross connection with Alpine City's water system in the event of an emergency or if a well shut down. He said Alpine City had provided fire and EMS service to the Cove and the County reimbursed the City for it. In past years the County had paid about \$100,000 to the City for fire and EMS service. If the Cove was annexed, the County was considering paying that amount to Alpine City, which could be used for a water connection.

David Church said he was pro-city, but the City would not want a neighborhood like the Cove if they thought they couldn't fight a fire on it. The City would want to have a cross connection so they could provide fire flow. He said the County was the biggest proponent of annexation because they were not in the business of providing services. Annexation into Alpine City would benefit the Cove, but it would be a detriment to the rest of Alpine. He said he had represented Alpine City for a long time, and couldn't understand why someone in the Cove thought annexation would be a detriment to them.

Greg Zippi – Alpine Cove Drive. He said he was open to annexation but he didn't understand it, and as he'd listened to the comments, he'd become more confused. He said he didn't understand why Alpine City would even consider this annexation.

Ramon Beck said the petition came from Mr. Zippi's neighbors.

Sheldon Wimmer said that in 1980 when Alpine Cove was developed, there were some not very good reasons why it was not annexed and developed inside the city. Mayor Wimmer said the people in Alpine Cove were part of the community. They were Alpiners. The people in Alpine City and Alpine Cove lived and worked together, they went to church and school together. He felt it was a wise thing to include all of Alpine in Alpine. As far as the costs, there were questions that needed to be answered. They needed to sit down and discuss those before the annexation came to a vote. There were no secrets and no hidden agendas.

Kimberly Bryant said she loved the people in Alpine Cove but they had to be clear about the costs to the current Alpine citizens if it was annexed.

Craig Skidmore – Alpine Cove Drive. He said when annexation was considered years ago, the issue was water. They knew the original developers had not provided enough water. A lot of the people who supported annexation were doing it to combine the water systems. Alpine City was talking about installing pressurized irrigation. Then it all fell apart. That was twenty years ago. The second issue was the road. They were told they need to pay \$10,000 to put in

street improvements. The people in the Cove didn't want sidewalk and streetlights and didn't want to do it. He said he would like to get everything on the table. There was a lot of false information going around.

Ray Warner – Alpine Cove Drive. He said he was the water master when Ken Melby came to him years ago and said he was tired of running the water for the Cove and wanted to turn it over to them. He gave them the deed for the shares and the water. At the time, Melby said he wanted to have access to the Cove infrastructure in case he developed his land next to the Cove. They said that was fine. He said he had wondered about it since that time. What was the relationship when Mr. Melby came in? What bearing would that have on the special service district?

David Church said he didn't know about the contract between Melby and the special service district. If and when Mr. Melby developed his land, whether in the county or in the city, one of the conditions would be that he would have to convince the city or county in advance that he had a water service provider available. He would have to prove that his land could be served adequately.

Shane Sorensen said that any property above an elevation of 5350 feet was responsible to develop water to serve that property. He said he had checked the boundaries and the Melby property was not in the Cove water district.

Kim Redford – Alpine Cove Drive. She said this had been a contentious issue for residents in the Cove with rumors and questions. She asked if it was true that having Alpine Cove in Alpine City would make the development of the Melby land go more easily.

Sheldon Wimmer said he didn't think so. It might make it more difficult if Cove residents had something to say about it. The law allowed the City to annex it but the Cove residents might have more say-so if they were in the City.

Kimberly Bryant said she didn't want the citizens to have to pay for the development of the Melby property.

Sheldon Wimmer said that the County had rezoned the Melby property to TR-5 which would allow them to have half-acre lots. On 30 acres, they could theoretically have 60 half-acre lots. For perspective on the issue, Mayor Wimmer said that several years ago, some landowners came to Alpine City with a petition to annex with a plan for 27 lots. The City rejected it. The County later approved a development on the same land with 59 lots. He said that if property was in Alpine, the City could control the size of the lots.

Jeff Redford – Alpine Cove Drive. He said the most information he'd gotten about the annexation was from David Church that evening, and thanked him for explaining things. It was great to have clarification. He requested that when the ordinance was prepared, they have a chance to meet with the Council.

David Church said the only thing the ordinance would say was that the property was annexed. He explained that a current council could not bind a future council. They could not promise to never widen the roads in Alpine Cove and never put in street lights. All they could say was that the current council wouldn't do it. If it was in the county, the county commission could not bind a future commission.

Mayor Wimmer said that before the Council voted on the annexation, they hoped to have all those questions answered and what the costs would be.

There were no more comments and Mayor Wimmer closed the public hearing.

B. Alpine Cove Annexation: Lon Lott said he appreciated public hearing because they got to hear different perspectives. In looking at the Horrocks study on water, what would the bottom line be? Would the widows on Main Street have to pay more if Alpine Cove was annexed? He said the City was not in the business of making money. The purpose of a town was to provide services and a sense of community. He said Alpine Cove felt like they were part of the community. There were questions about infrastructure and fire flow in the Cove. Would they have the water necessary to fight fires in the large homes in the Cove?

Kimberly Bryant said she would like to know the hard costs for the current Alpine City citizens which she represented.

Roger Bennett said that if the Cove didn't want to be annexed, he was fine with that. If they did want to come into the City, he would welcome them. They did need to consider the costs.

Ramon Beck said that the neighborhoods lying between Alpine City and the forest service land were considered Alpine.

C. Ordinance No. 2017-04 – Amendment to the Farm Animal and Agricultural Regulations (Section 3.21.9). Jason Bond said that at the last City Council meeting, the Council proposed adding language to the ordinance specifying the number of chickens that would be allowed. He had rewritten the original amendment to prohibit roosters, and added language to specify that a resident could have four chickens on a 10,000 square foot lot with that number increasing as the lot size increased to a maximum of ten chickens regardless of the size of the lot.

Roger Bennett said he thought they should send it back to the Planning Commission to see what they thought about it.

Ramon Beck asked who was going to go around and count the chickens? Jason Bond said he hadn't received complaints about the number of chickens that people had. David Church said that enforcement would be based on complaints.

Charmayne Warnock said that, as a chicken owner, the number of chickens became self-limiting. They usually laid one egg a day and a family with six children would want about six hens to provide eggs for their family. If you had too many chickens, you had too many eggs.

Will Jones said that if they passed the ordinance, he had 30 chickens he needed to get rid of, and he had just ordered another 25 baby chicks. He said that after about three years, the hens quit laying and he wasn't sure why there should be a limit on the number of chickens. He always acquired roosters after Easter because people bought baby chicks for their kids, then when they discovered they were roosters, they brought them to his house. He added that he would need an exotic animal permit because he was getting a kangaroo.

Lon Lott noted that laws enacted by government were often blunt instruments. He said that if the ordinance grandfathered people who already had roosters, they would still be getting complaints from the same people about roosters so he wasn't sure what would be gained. Perhaps the neighbors needed to figure out how to make it work. There would be a concern if someone had a chicken farm and there was a smell.

Kimberly Bryant said she thought the ordinance was ridiculous. It was taking away what Alpine was and she didn't think they needed to get into it.

Jason Bond said the Planning Commission had no comment on the ordinance.

Roger Bennett said they should perhaps just let it die. No motion was made.

D. Approval of Nighttime work on Fort Canyon Road. Shane Sorensen said the work on the road up Fort Canyon was extensive. The cuts and fill were being completed. The culverts were in and the waterline was being constructed. There were times when they had one-way traffic with delays.

The proposal from the construction manager was to close the road at night in order to install the storm drain line. It had to be installed in the middle of the road which would require complete closure of the road for as long as five to six hours at a time. There was not enough road width to maintain traffic flow when the storm drain was installed. It was proposed that they close the road between the hours of 10 pm to 6 am. During the day, the road would be open as it had been during construction.

Shane Sorensen said that the Construction Noise Ordinance prohibited construction from 10 pm to 7 am and 8 am on Sunday, but the City Administrator could authorize different hours. He said he would prefer to have the backing of the Mayor and Council to do that. He said he personally favored closing the road for nighttime construction. It would move the project forward and reduce the overall construction time. They anticipated a minimum of three

weeks of nighttime work. He had asked Sherry Nash to email the residents and let them know they would be discussing this.

Sherry Nash said she had heard back from Craig and Cherie Broadbent. They couldn't attend the meeting but said they would rather put up with some noise at night. They liked the idea of muffling the backup beepers. Mrs. Nash said that she and her husband would also prefer the nighttime construction if it speeded things up.

Wayne Wasden thanked the Council for having everyone work together to maintain access. He said from his standpoint, the nighttime construction was a good idea. He had met with the foreman who indicated it may be longer than three weeks. If there was an emergency or someone came home late, they could install plates in the road and there would be some ability to shuttle people back and forth in the canyon.

Brad Arnold said he lived in Fort Canyon and appreciated the work that was being done. He had some concerns about nighttime closure because he was a pediatrician who was on call at the hospital once a week. If there was a sick baby at night, he had to be able to get to the hospital. He wanted to know if there were things that could be done so he didn't get stuck in the canyon. There was one other physician who lived in the canyon who was also on call in the ER at night. He added that getting to school was hard for people with children. They couldn't always get to the buses on time when there was a delay. He asked if there would be a shuttle service at night.

Shane Sorensen said there could also be people working an early shift or catching a flight that would need to leave during the closure. They had a weekly meeting and discussed the schedule. He said work during the day would continue.

Will Jones said there would be a crew that worked at night and a crew that continued work during the day. It would expedite the work.

MOTION: Roger Bennett moved to allow Three Falls to do the storm drain work at night with the condition that they make allowances for professional people who had to get up and down the canyon. Kimberly Bryant seconded. Ayes: 4 Nays: 0. Ramon Beck, Roger Bennett, Kimberly Bryant, Lon Lott voted aye. Motion passed. Troy Stout was not present at the time of motion.

Will Jones said they would start work tomorrow. Sherry Nash would coordinate with the residents.

Troy Stout arrived at the meeting.

David Smuin approached the Council with a proposal for an Eagle Scout project in which he would be painting *No Parking* sections along Main Street from the Junction to 200 North, and by the water fountain. He would also be painting by the church on 100 East.

VIII. STAFF REPORTS.

Jason Bond reported on the following:

- Utah County was updating their general plan. If anyone was interested in attending the discussion, there would be a meeting on April 18, 2107 with the County Planning Commission.
- There would be a Dispatch meeting on Thursday and they would be appointing a new executive director. Chief Brian Gwilliam had been appointed as the Chair of the Board of Operations.
- At the upcoming Planning Commission meeting, they would be holding a public hearing on Amendments to the Annexation Policy Plan to include the Pine Grove and North Area (Melby property). They would also be working on the Moderate Income Housing Element of the General Plan.

Brian Gwilliam said SB 189 regarding the distribution of 911 fees did pass and the governor signed it. There would be a two-year hold-harmless period so they would not immediately be affected, but they would be looking at increased costs because of the bill. They weren't sure yet how that would impact the department.

Charmayne Warnock said that the City Council would be reviewing amendments to the dog ordinance at an upcoming meeting. Alpine City used to handle fees and the licensing of dogs but that had all been taken over by the

Lone Peak PSD and the North Utah Valley Animal Shelter. The ordinance would be updated to reflect current practices. There was also a proposal to eliminate the hobby breeders license and replace it with a kennel permit to be consistent with other communities in the District.

Shane Sorensen reported on the following:

- Pressurized irrigation (PI) had been turned on the day before. He proposed the City maintain the same watering schedule as in past years.
- There was a request from Cedar Hills to use the Alpine's pickleball courts for their city celebration. He felt that as good neighbors they would approve it.
- They would be looking at an interlocal agreement regarding the right-hand turn lane at the intersection of SR-92 and Canyon Crest Road.
- Representative Mike Kennedy would be reporting on the state legislature at the next meeting.
- The Harvigtsens had filed an appeal on the City Council approval of the Summit Pointe subdivision, which was being developed by Taylor Smith and Mark Wells. The City would be getting another appeal authority since Phil Barker had been the mayor of Alpine when the development was in process. They would be using Glen Bronson who was an attorney in Salt Lake.
- There would be a meeting on Friday with the Division of Natural Resources (DNR) regarding Lambert Park.
- They would be reviewing the budget for the next fiscal year at the next meeting.
- He had attended his first city manager conference.
- The Westfield Road project was 95% complete. Most of it was done inhouse.

IX. COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

Kimberly Bryant said the Youth Council would be holding an Easter Egg Hunt on Saturday at Creekside Park.

Lon Lott reported on the following:

- He expressed his gratitude to David Church, and explained that he had attended the ULCT Conference and appreciated Mr. Church's direction both at the conference and specifically to Alpine City.
- He had also attended the Risk Management meeting and was grateful to Shane Sorensen and Cortnie Graham for their work on the TAP program. Related to risk management, he said that Alpine Days was coming up and the bleachers at the rodeo grounds may need some work. It was time to start considering the condition of the facilities they invited people to, and evaluate if it was worth the risk. The parking for the rodeo was far away and people had to hike up a long trail.
- Other risks they had talked about in the conference were playgrounds and trip hazards. Jed Mulhlein was Alpine City's certified playground expert. David Church said the city did its best to minimize risk but life was full of risks, which was why they bought insurance. Councilman Lott said they needed to make sure they documented things. They also needed to make sure they put safety measure into place when they held the fireworks so they were covered.
- Regarding land use, he asked who looked at Alpine City's code to make sure it was current and unambiguous. If it was unclear, the court deferred to landowner rights.

Troy Stout apologized for being late. He asked if the playground on Smooth Canyon Park was still on the schedule for this year. Shane Sorensen said it was.

Troy Stout asked about fencing in Lambert Park to create delineation between the park and private land. They had talked about a split rail fence. He'd like to see that done this year and get a timeline on it. A fence would be useful so people knew what was public open space and what was private. They also needed to enforce non-motorized vehicles on the trails.

Mayor Wimmer discussed shooting in the forest service area by Lambert Park. He had met with the forest service three times and talked about putting up some rocks. It would slow down people who wanted to lug old TV sets and other targets up there. The forest service did not allow exploding targets. Jason Bond said he had talked to someone who said people would just park at the boulders and shoot. Along with the boulders, they might want to consider signs that said *No Recreational Shooting*.

MOTION: Ramon Beck moved to adjourn. Roger Bennett seconded. Ayes: 4 Nays: 0. Ramon Beck, Roger Bennett, Lon Lott, Troy Stout voted aye. Kimberly Bryant was not present at the time of the motion. Motion passed.

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 pm.